

THE PSYCHODYNAMICS OF MODERN INFIDELITY

THE PSYCHODYNAMICS OF MODERN INFIDELITY

DAKOTA FRANDSEN



CONTENTS

Text Insert	1
<i>Works cited</i>	22

Copyright © 2026 by Dakota Frandsen

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews.

First Printing, 2026

1. Introduction: The Evolving Landscape of Betrayal

The institution of marriage and the construct of the committed relationship have undergone a radical transformation in the twenty-first century. While the fundamental human desire for connection and stability remains constant, the environmental and psychological contexts in which these relationships exist have shifted dramatically. Infidelity, historically defined by physical acts of sexual transgression, has metastasized into a complex spectrum of behaviors that includes emotional entanglements, digital intimacies, and "micro-cheating." This report provides an exhaustive analysis of the psychology and motivations behind extramarital affairs, with a specific focus on the burgeoning domains of cyber and emotional infidelity.

The modern crisis of fidelity is not merely a crisis of morality or impulse control; it is a convergence of evolutionary biology, deep-seated psychological needs, and an unprecedented technological landscape that facilitates secret connections with near-zero friction. As society transitions from traditional models of duty-based marriage to the modern "soulmate" model, the expectations placed upon partners have reached an apex. We now expect our spouses to be our best friends, lovers, intellectual equals, and emotional anchors.¹ When these elevated expectations clash with the mundane realities of long-term cohabitation, the "shadow" of the unlived life—often manifested as an affair—becomes a potent lure.¹

Furthermore, the digital revolution has introduced a "Triple A" engine—Access, Affordability, and Anonymity—that has fundamentally altered the accessibility of extra-dyadic relationships.² The internet has created a "psychological third space" where individuals can explore fragmented parts of their identity without the immediate social costs associated with physical affairs.³ This analysis will dissect these phenomena, exploring how ancient evolutionary drives interact with modern digital interfaces to create a perfect storm for betrayal.

2. Theoretical Frameworks: Biology, Evolution, and the Brain

To understand the motivations behind infidelity, one must first examine the biological and evolutionary substrates that drive human mating behaviors. While cultural norms prescribe monogamy, biological imperatives often suggest a more complex picture of human sexuality.

2.1 Evolutionary Psychology: The Cooperative Breeder Paradox

Evolutionary psychology posits that human beings are "monogamously inclined cooperative breeders" who, despite this inclination, often resort to "uncooperative reproductive strategies" such as infidelity to maximize genetic fitness.⁵ This dual nature creates an inherent tension within the human psyche. On one hand, pair-bonding is essential for the survival of offspring, requiring significant investment and cooperation. On the other hand, the drive for genetic diversity and

resource acquisition can push individuals toward extra-pair copulations.

Gendered Differences in Motivations and Jealousy

A significant body of research focuses on the distinct evolutionary pressures faced by men and women, which have shaped their respective motivations for infidelity and their reactions to it.

- **Paternity Uncertainty vs. Resource Loss:** Historically, men have faced the challenge of "paternity uncertainty"—the risk of investing resources in offspring that do not carry their genetic material. Consequently, evolutionary theory suggests that men have evolved a heightened sensitivity to *sexual* infidelity, which poses a direct threat to their genetic legacy.⁵ In contrast, women, whose maternity is never in doubt, have historically faced the threat of "resource diversion"—the risk that a partner will withdraw protection and resources to invest them in another mate. Thus, women are theorized to be more distressed by *emotional* infidelity, which signals a potential loss of commitment and support.⁶
- **Empirical Evidence and Nuance:** Empirical studies support these theoretical distinctions. Research indicates that when forced to choose, men are more likely to select sexual infidelity as the most distressing scenario, whereas women are overwhelmingly more distressed by emotional betrayal.⁸ However, this binary

is not absolute. In non-committed or dating relationships, these gender differences are pronounced, but among those in committed relationships, the gap narrows, with both genders expressing significant distress over both forms of betrayal.⁹ This suggests that while evolutionary drives provide a baseline, the lived experience of attachment and commitment modulates these reactions.

2.2 The Neuroscience of Infidelity: Dopamine and the Search for Novelty

Beyond evolutionary drivers, the neurochemistry of the brain plays a pivotal role in the motivation to cheat. The human brain is wired to seek novelty, a trait that is heavily influenced by the neurotransmitter dopamine.

The Dopamine Reward System

Dopamine is the chemical messenger associated with pleasure, reward, and motivation. In the early stages of a relationship, the brain is flooded with dopamine, creating feelings of euphoria and intense focus on the partner. Over time, however, as the relationship stabilizes, these dopamine levels naturally decline or the receptors become less sensitive to the same stimulus.¹⁰

For some individuals, this normalization of neurochemistry is experienced as a loss of love or "aliveness." The "Coolidge Effect"—a biological phenomenon where a refractory period is reduced upon the introduction of a new sexual partner—illustrates the brain's powerful response to sexual

novelty.¹⁰ Infidelity, particularly in its early stages, reactivates these dormant dopamine pathways. The secrecy and risk associated with an affair can further spike dopamine and adrenaline levels, creating a potent neurochemical "high" that is difficult to replicate in a stable, long-term partnership.¹¹

Neurotransmitters and Decision Making

Research into the "behavioral microbiome" suggests that variations in receptor sensitivity and neurotransmitter baseline levels can predispose certain individuals to risk-taking behaviors, including infidelity.¹⁰ Individuals with "dopamine deficits" or those who require higher thresholds of stimulation to feel satisfied may be neurologically more susceptible to the "thrill" of an affair.¹¹ This biological perspective frames infidelity not just as a moral failing but as a maladaptive attempt to regulate internal neurochemical states.

2.3 The Monogamy Gap: Sociological Perspectives

Complementing the biological view is the sociological concept of the "Monogamy Gap." This term describes the chasm between the cultural ideal of lifelong sexual exclusivity and the statistical reality of human sexual behavior.¹⁴

Sociologist Eric Anderson argues that for many men (and increasingly women), cheating is a "rational response to an irrational situation." The "irrational situation" is the societal demand for strict monogamy despite the biological reality that sexual desire for a single partner often wanes after several years.¹⁶ Anderson's research suggests that many individuals who cheat do not do so out of a lack of love for their partners,

but rather to resolve the tension between their social values (monogamy) and their somatic urges (novelty) without dismantling their primary relationship.¹⁴

In this framework, the secrecy of an affair is interpreted not as an act of malice, but as an attempt to protect the primary relationship from the devastation of the truth, while simultaneously fulfilling needs that the relationship structure cannot accommodate.¹⁴

3. Psychological Architectures: Attachment and Personality

While biology provides the hardware for infidelity, individual psychology provides the software. Attachment theory and personality disorders offer robust frameworks for predicting who is most likely to cheat and why.

3.1 Attachment Theory: The Blueprint of Intimacy

Attachment theory, originating from the work of John Bowlby and Mary Ainsworth, explains how early bonds with caregivers shape adult romantic strategies. Insecure attachment is a significant predictor of infidelity, serving as a mechanism for managing deep-seated fears of abandonment or engulfment.⁵

Anxious-Preoccupied Attachment

Individuals with an anxious attachment style crave high levels of intimacy and validation. They are often plagued by a chronic fear that their partner does not love them enough. For

these individuals, infidelity acts as a form of "hyper-activating" strategy.¹⁸

- **Motivation:** They may seek affairs to garner the reassurance and attention they feel is lacking in their primary relationship.
- **Behavior:** They are prone to emotional affairs and "micro-cheating," using external flirtations to boost their self-esteem or to provoke a reaction from their partner.¹⁷

Dismissive-Avoidant Attachment

Conversely, those with a dismissive-avoidant style equate intimacy with a loss of independence. They often maintain emotional distance to protect themselves.

- **Motivation:** Infidelity serves as a "deactivating" strategy, allowing them to keep their primary partner at arm's length. By dispersing their investment across multiple partners, they ensure that they are never fully dependent on one person.⁵
- **Behavior:** These individuals are more likely to engage in sexual infidelity without emotional attachment, viewing the affair as a purely physical outlet that reinforces their autonomy.¹⁷

Fearful-Avoidant Attachment

This style is characterized by a chaotic mix of desiring closeness and fearing it. These individuals often have a history of trauma.

- **Motivation:** Their infidelity is often impulsive or retaliatory. It can be a way to sabotage a relationship when it becomes "too close" or to lash out when they feel hurt.¹⁷

3.2 The Dark Triad: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy

Personality psychology identifies the "Dark Triad"—Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy—as a cluster of traits strongly associated with relational transgression.²⁰

Narcissism: Grandiose vs. Vulnerable

Narcissism is a multidimensional construct that predicts infidelity through different pathways:

- **Grandiose Narcissism:** Characterized by high self-esteem, dominance, and a sense of entitlement. These individuals cheat because they believe they deserve more partners or because they seek the ego boost of sexual conquest. They often lack the empathy to care about the impact on their spouse.²¹
- **Vulnerable Narcissism:** Characterized by defensive grandiosity masking deep insecurity. These individ-

uals are hypersensitive to criticism or rejection. They may cheat as a way to self-soothe following a perceived slight or to seek validation that restores their fragile ego.⁵

Machiavellianism and Psychopathy

- **Machiavellianism:** Involves strategic manipulation and a cynical view of human nature. These individuals are skilled at deception and may maintain long-term affairs with little guilt, viewing the deception as a necessary tactic to maintain their lifestyle.²³
- **Psychopathy:** Characterized by high impulsivity and a lack of remorse. High psychopathy correlates with "unrestricted sociosexuality," meaning a preference for short-term mating and casual sex. These individuals are often motivated by the immediate thrill and have little regard for the relational consequences.²⁰

Table 1: The Dark Triad and Infidelity Motivations

Trait	Core Motivation for Infidelity	M
Narcissism	Ego validation, entitlement, seeking admiration.	an cr

Machiavellianism	Strategic resource acquisition, maintaining options.	di eff
Psychopathy	Thrill-seeking, boredom alleviation, sexual impulse.	be te

4. *Emotional Infidelity: The Anatomy of Intimacy*

Emotional infidelity is increasingly cited as a primary cause of marital breakdown. Unlike physical affairs, which are defined by sexual contact, emotional affairs are characterized by a gradual shift of emotional intimacy from the primary partner to an outsider.²⁵

4.1 *Defining the Indefinable*

Defining emotional infidelity is notoriously difficult because it often mimics platonic friendship. However, researchers identify three key components that distinguish an emotional affair from friendship:

1. **Emotional Intimacy:** The sharing of deep vulnerabilities, hopes, and fears that are withheld from the spouse.
2. **Sexual Chemistry:** An acknowledged or unacknowledged undercurrent of attraction.
3. **Secrecy:** The deception regarding the extent or nature of the interaction.²⁵

The ambiguity of emotional infidelity creates a unique psychological burden. The "cheating" partner can often plausibly deny any wrongdoing ("We're just friends"), gaslighting the spouse who senses the emotional withdrawal.²⁵

4.2 The Windows and Walls Theory

Dr. Shirley Glass's "Windows and Walls" theory is the gold standard for understanding the mechanics of emotional betrayal. It posits that a healthy marriage is enclosed by a protective "wall" that shields the couple from external threats, while "windows" of openness exist between the partners.²⁷

Infidelity begins when a partner reverses this architecture:

- They build a **wall** of secrecy between themselves and their spouse (withholding information, hiding feelings).
- They open a **window** of intimacy to the affair partner (sharing relationship grievances, personal dreams).²⁷

Glass emphasizes that affairs are rarely premeditated. They usually begin as platonic interactions—often with neighbors, coworkers, or old friends—that slowly slide down a "slippery slope" as boundaries are incrementally crossed.²⁹

The Stages of the Slippery Slope

1. **Platonic Friendship:** Interaction is open and innocent.

2. **Crossing the Line:** The conversation shifts to personal troubles or marital complaints. The "friend" becomes a confidant for issues the spouse should be hearing.³¹
3. **Emotional Dependence:** The individual begins to feel that the friend "understands" them in a way their spouse does not. Comparison begins: the friend is idealized, while the spouse is devalued.³²
4. **Secrecy:** The individual begins to hide the frequency of contact or the content of messages, signaling the transition to an affair.³³

4.3 The Work Spouse Phenomenon

The modern workplace creates a fertile environment for emotional affairs. The term "work spouse" refers to a co-worker with whom one shares a special, often exclusive, bond that mimics the intimacy of a marriage.³⁵

While these relationships can be supportive, they are high-risk. Research indicates that the "work spouse" dynamic often blurs professional and personal boundaries, leading to "work-life conflict" and inappropriate emotional dependence.³⁷ A survey of 640 adults found that while most work spouse relationships end due to job changes, a small but significant percentage cross the line into romance or are terminated because boundaries were violated.³⁸

The danger lies in the shared context. Colleagues see each other at their "best" (professionally competent, dressed up) and share the specific stressors of the job, creating a bond of "insider" knowledge that the actual spouse cannot access.

When professional validation morphs into personal validation, the "window" to the marriage is often closed.³⁷

5. The Digital Catalyst: Cyber Infidelity and Online Disinhibition

The internet has not just facilitated infidelity; it has reinvented it. The digital realm offers a playground for the id, where desires can be explored with a perceived safety distance.

5.1 The Triple-A Engine

Al Cooper's model of the "Triple A" engine explains the explosive growth of online sexual activity and infidelity. This engine consists of three components that lower the barrier to entry for betrayal:

1. **Access:** Potential partners are available 24/7. One is no longer limited to their immediate geographic or social circle; the pool of potential affair partners is global and infinite.²
2. **Affordability:** Online affairs are cost-effective. They do not require hotel rooms, dinners, or travel expenses. The "cost" of entry is merely an internet connection.²
3. **Anonymity:** This is the most potent factor. Users can cultivate secret personas, explore taboo fantasies, and engage in behaviors they would never attempt in person, secure in the belief that they will not be "caught" or judged by their real-world community.²

Later researchers added a fourth "A": **Approximation**. This refers to the ability to "approximate" real-life interactions (e.g., cybersex, video chat) that feel visceral and real, satisfying sexual urges without physical contact.⁴¹

5.2 The Online Disinhibition Effect (ODE)

The psychological mechanism that powers the Triple-A engine is the "Online Disinhibition Effect." This effect describes how individuals lower their psychological guard in digital spaces.⁴²

- **Benign Disinhibition:** People may share personal secrets, fears, or emotions more quickly online than offline. This "hyper-personal" communication accelerates the development of emotional intimacy, leading to rapid bonding that can bypass the slower, natural progression of real-world relationships.⁴³
- **Toxic Disinhibition:** The anonymity of the internet allows for the expression of darker impulses—aggression, illicit sexual solicitation, or "trolling." In the context of infidelity, this manifests as "cyber-incivility" or the pursuit of sexual gratification with a callous disregard for the partner.⁴⁴

This disinhibition creates a "compartmentalized" reality. The cheater often convinces themselves that the online behavior "doesn't count" because it occurs in a separate, virtual sphere. This cognitive dissonance allows them to maintain an image of themselves as a "good spouse" while actively engaging in betrayal.³

5.3 Micro-Cheating and Gamification

"Micro-cheating" refers to a suite of subtle digital behaviors that breach the trust of a relationship without necessarily constituting a full-blown affair. These behaviors are often driven by the "gamification" of social interaction.¹⁰

Examples include:

- **Digital Flirtation:** Sending ambiguous or "coy" emojis to an ex or a coworker.⁴⁷
- **Orbiting:** obsessively checking a potential partner's stories or status updates.⁴⁷
- **The "Back-Burner":** Keeping a dating app profile active "just to look" or maintaining contact with exes as potential backup options.⁴⁹
- **Like-Chasing:** Habitually liking provocative photos of others to solicit attention.⁵⁰

Psychology of the "Like": These actions are reinforced by intermittent reinforcement schedules—the same psychological mechanic used in gambling. Receiving a "like" or a DM triggers a dopamine hit. Over time, the brain becomes conditioned to seek these small bursts of validation. For an individual in a long-term relationship where validation is steady but predictable (and thus less dopamine-rich), the unpredictable validation of micro-cheating becomes addictive.¹⁰

5.4 Technoference

"Technoference" describes the intrusion of technology into couple interactions, and it plays a cyclical role in infidelity.

1. **The Trigger:** One partner "phubs" (phone snubs) the other, checking their phone during dinner or conversation.
2. **The Reaction:** The ignored partner feels rejected, unimportant, and lonely.
3. **The Escape:** The rejected partner turns to their own device for solace, often seeking validation from social media or online connections to fill the void.
4. **The Cycle:** This leads to further technoference, deepening the emotional divide and creating a fertile ground for online emotional affairs to take root.⁵¹

Research by McDaniel and Coyne establishes a direct correlation between high levels of technoference and lower relationship satisfaction, higher conflict, and increased depressive symptoms.⁵² It is a mechanism of "disconnection by connection"—connecting to the world while disconnecting from the spouse.

6. Existential Dimensions: Identity and Aliveness

While biology and technology explain the *means* and *urges*, the existential perspective explains the *meaning* of affairs. Psychotherapist Esther Perel has revolutionized the understanding of infidelity by framing it not merely as a symptom of a troubled relationship, but as a crisis of identity.¹

6.1 The Quest for the Self

Perel suggests that infidelity is often an "act of self-discovery" disguised as a sexual transgression. In long-term relation-

ships, individuals often lock themselves into fixed roles (the responsible parent, the reliable provider, the nagging spouse). Over time, parts of the self—the adventurous, the playful, the sexual—are repressed or lost.¹

An affair offers a portal to these lost selves. The affair partner acts as a "mirror," reflecting back a version of the individual that they long to be. As Perel famously notes, "I didn't want to leave my partner. I just wanted to leave the person I had become".¹ This perspective helps explain why individuals in "happy" marriages—who love their partners and value their families—still cheat. They are seeking "aliveness," a sense of vitality that has been extinguished by the routine of domestic life.¹

6.2 The Modern Relationship Paradox

The modern romantic ideology has set relationships up for failure by creating an impossible standard. We have replaced the "village" with the "partner." We ask one person to provide what an entire community used to provide: stability, novelty, belonging, transcendence, and mystery.¹

- **Security vs. Adventure:** We require our partners to be our "anchor" (security, safety) and our "wave" (adventure, mystery). These are fundamentally opposing psychological needs.
- **The Consequence:** When a partner inevitably fails to be everything, the disappointment is profound. The affair becomes the mechanism to outsource the unmet needs—usually the need for novelty and eroti-

cism—that the “security” of the marriage naturally suppresses.¹

7. Artificial Intimacy: The Rise of AI Companions

A burgeoning frontier in the psychology of infidelity is the interaction between humans and Artificial Intelligence. Applications like Replika and Character.AI offer users the ability to create “perfect” virtual partners.⁵⁷

The Psychology of the AI “Affair”

These AI companions are designed to be infinitely supportive, always available, and non-judgmental. They provide a “super-normal stimulus” of validation. For individuals experiencing loneliness or marital dissatisfaction, an AI girlfriend or boyfriend can become a primary source of emotional support.⁵⁸

- **Is it Cheating?** The debate over whether AI interactions constitute cheating is ongoing. Some users view it as a harmless coping mechanism or a form of interactive pornography. However, when the user begins to prioritize the AI over their human partner, sharing intimate thoughts and deriving their primary emotional sustenance from the bot, it fits the criteria of emotional infidelity.⁵⁹
- **The Risk:** The danger of AI intimacy is that it is frictionless. Human relationships require compromise and the tolerance of another’s flaws. AI relationships do not. This can degrade the user’s tolerance for the

"messiness" of real human connection, leading to further withdrawal from the marriage.⁵⁹

8. The Aftermath: Trauma, Recovery, and Renegotiation

The discovery of an affair is often described as a "shattering of reality." For the betrayed partner, it constitutes an attachment injury that can trigger symptoms identical to Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD): flashbacks, hypervigilance, and obsessive rumination.⁶¹

The Trauma of Cyber Betrayal

There is a misconception that online affairs are "lesser" betrayals. However, clinical evidence suggests that the "gaslighting" associated with online affairs—where a partner denies the significance of thousands of messages—can be more psychologically damaging than a one-night stand. The betrayed partner is forced to question their own perception of reality over a prolonged period.³

Staying vs. Leaving: The New Ambivalence

In the past, infidelity was often a clear exit ramp from a marriage. Today, the decision is fraught with ambivalence. The "stay or go" dilemma is complicated by the high investment in the "soulmate" model of marriage.⁶⁴

- **Perel's View:** Perel advocates for an "investigative" approach rather than a judgmental one. She suggests that couples can sometimes forge a "second marriage"

with the same person, using the crisis of the affair to dismantle old, dysfunctional patterns and build a more honest union.¹

- **Consensual Non-Monogamy (CNM):** Some couples attempt to resolve the "Monogamy Gap" by transitioning to CNM (e.g., polyamory) post-affair. Experts warn that this is high-risk. While CNM can be a healthy relationship structure, opening a relationship to "fix" a betrayal often fails because the necessary foundation of trust and communication has already been destroyed.⁶⁶

Rebuilding Trust in a Digital World

Recovery requires "radical transparency." This often involves the "voluntarily open phone" policy, where the unfaithful partner gives up their right to digital privacy for a period to help the betrayed partner regulate their anxiety.⁶⁸ The couple must also establish new "digital boundaries," explicitly defining what constitutes a violation (e.g., "liking" exes' photos, using disappearing messages).⁷⁰

9. Conclusion

The psychology of extramarital affairs in the twenty-first century is a tapestry woven from ancient threads of evolutionary drive and modern threads of digital opportunity. It is driven by the biological quest for dopamine and genetic fitness, shaped by the psychological scaffolds of attachment and personality, and facilitated by a technological environment that offers "Triple A" access to unlimited novelty.

Whether manifested through a physical tryst, an emotional bond with a "work spouse," or a secret life in the digital ether, infidelity remains a potent expression of the human struggle to reconcile the need for security with the desire for aliveness. Understanding these motivations does not justify the betrayal, but it offers the only viable map for navigating the complex terrain of modern love. As the lines between the real and the virtual continue to blur, the definition of fidelity itself will likely continue to evolve, demanding ever-higher levels of communication, self-awareness, and intentionality from those who seek to sustain long-term intimacy.

Works cited

1. Esther Perel - Mark Reid, Marriage & Family Therapist, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.markreidmft.com/esther-perel.html>
2. American Psychotherapy Association, accessed January 31, 2026, https://americanpsychotherapy.com/online_magazine_article_3009.php
3. Online infidelity: The new challenge to marriages - PMC - NIH, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2802380/>
4. Online Disinhibition Effect: Why We Express More Online - Cognition Today, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://cognitiontoday.com/online-disinhibition-effect/>
5. InTrOduCTIOn - American Psychological Association, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.apa.org/pubs/books/Dynamics-of-Infidelity-Intro-Sample.pdf>
6. Why People Have Affairs: Psychological and Emotional Drivers - Bettendorf Counseling Center, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.bettendorfcounselingcenter.com/journal/why-people-have-affairs-psychological-and-emotional-drivers>
7. Emotional Infidelity | Psychology Today, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/emotional-infidelity>

8. Gender differences in response to infidelity types and rival attractiveness - Taylor & Francis, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/14681994.2019.1639657>
9. Relationship status and gender-related differences in response to infidelity - PMC, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10244511/>
10. Can Biology Explain Why Love Fades and Infidelity Rises? - Psychology Today, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-behavioral-microbiome/202506/can-biology-explain-why-love-fades-and-infidelity-rises>
11. Why Do People Cheat in Relationships? Dopamine Trap and Micro-Cheating, Affairs Explored - Relationship Revival Podcast, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://podcast.nicolabeer.com/why-do-people-cheat/>
12. Dopamine and Cheating: Break the Cycle of Infidelity - YouTube, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fvR9vJWYrkC>
13. Why a Person Cheats: The Neuroscience & Psychology of Infidelity | Amen Clinics, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.amenclinics.com/blog/why-people-cheat-the-neuroscience-of-infidelity/>
14. At least with cheating there is an attempt at monogamy": cheating and monogamism among undergraduate heterosexual men, accessed January 31, 2026, https://purehost.bath.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/148974262/Anderson_JSPR_2010_27_7_851.pdf

15. The Monogamy Gap: Men, Love, and the Reality of Cheating by Eric Anderson | Goodreads, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/13131301-the-monogamy-gap>
16. The Monogamy Gap: Men, Love and the Reality of Cheating by Eric Anderson – review, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.theguardian.com/books/2012/mar/01/monogamy-gap-eric-anderson-review>
17. Exploring the associations between narcissism, intentions towards infidelity, and relationship satisfaction: Attachment styles as a moderator - PMC - NIH, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7665789/>
18. Do You Have Anything to Hide? Infidelity-Related Behaviors on Social Media Sites and Marital Satisfaction - NIH, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5400109/>
19. Exploring the associations between narcissism, intentions towards infidelity, and relationship satisfaction: Attachment styles a - Research journals - PLOS, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/file?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0242277&type=printable>
20. Conflict in Love: An Examination of the Role of Dark Triad Traits in Romantic Relationships among Women - MDPI, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-0760/13/9/474>
21. Sexual Narcissism and Infidelity in Early Marriage - PMC - NIH, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4163100/>

22. (PDF) Modeling the interplay between narcissism, relational motives, and jealousy-induced responses to infidelity threat - ResearchGate, accessed January 31, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325880271_Modeling_the_interplay_between_narcissism_relational_motives_and_jealousy-induced_responses_to_infidelity_threat
23. Sociosexual Orientation and Dark Triad Personality Traits Impact on Deception in Relationships - Murray State's Digital Commons, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://digitalcommons.murraystate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1448&context=etd>
24. Associations between the dark triad of personality and unspecified/specific forms of Internet-use disorder - PMC - NIH, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6376366/>
25. 12 Signs of Emotional Infidelity & Cheating - Positive Psychology, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://positivepsychology.com/emotional-infidelity-and-cheating/>
26. How to identify the difference between friendship and an emotional affair - Evolve Therapy, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.evovetherapymn.com/post/how-to-identify-the-difference-between-friendship-and-an-emotional-affair>
27. The Path to Infidelity: What Gottman Research Tells Us | Relationships, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://gottmantherapist.wordpress.com/2014/08/04/gottman-research-on-infidelity/>

28. Not - Just Friends - PDF | PDF | Intimate Relationships | Affair - Scribd, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.scribd.com/document/914416299/Not-Just-Friends-PDF>
29. Stages Of Emotional Affairs: A Survival Guide - The Power Moves, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://thepowermoves.com/emotional-affair/>
30. The Slippery Slope of Infidelity - Sherry Maxwell Counselling, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.counsellingincambridge.ca/2015/03/28/the-slippery-slope-of-infidelity/>
31. The Difference Between Emotional Cheating vs. Friendship – Where Is The Line?, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://guystuffcounseling.com/counseling-men-blog/the-difference-between-emotional-cheating-vs-friendship-where-is-the-line/>
32. 9 Signs You're Having an Emotional Affair - Verywell Mind, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.verywellmind.com/signs-youre-having-an-emotional-affair-2303079>
33. When Do Emotional Affairs Become Full-Blown Affairs? The 8 Stages Explained, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.brainzmagazine.com/post/emotional-affairs-and-infidelity>
34. Are You Involved in an Emotional Affair? - Verywell Mind, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.verywellmind.com/emotional-affairs-and-infidelity-2303091>
35. My Confidant, My Coworker: The Interpersonal Relationship of Work Spouses - The Aquila Digital

Community, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://aquila.usm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1157&context=dissertations>

36. Personal Workplace Relationships: Unifying an Understudied Area of Organizational and Personal Life - PMC - NIH, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10525437/>
37. Work Spouse Relationships: A Conceptual Framework for Understanding Dynamics, Implications, and Management Strategies in Contemporary Organizations, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://jisem-journal.com/index.php/journal/article/download/10366/4756/17300>
38. The Work Spouse: Indispensable Friend or Playing with Fire? | Psychology Today, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-friendship-doctor/201012/the-work-spouse-indispensable-friend-or-playing-with-fire>
39. A new area for psychological research - NTU > IRep, accessed January 31, 2026, https://irep.ntu.ac.uk/id/eprint/22790/1/185302_3005.pdf
40. The Seven “As” Contributing to Internet-Related Intimacy Problems: A Literature Review, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://cyberpsychology.eu/article/view/4230/34317>
41. Clinical Implications of Internet Infidelity: Where Facebook Fits In - BYU ScholarsArchive, accessed Jan-

uary 31, 2026, <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3198&context=facpub>

42. Greater toxic online disinhibition and lower consistent online self-presentation contribute to the perpetration of cyber dating abuse - PubMed Central, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12832863/>
43. What constitutes victims of toxicity - identifying drivers of toxic victimhood in multiplayer online battle arena games - Frontiers, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1193172/full>
44. Online Disinhibition and Its Influence on Cyber Incivility - Clemson OPEN, accessed January 31, 2026, https://open.clemson.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4177&context=all_theses
45. Personality Factors Predicting Disinhibited and Risky Online Behaviors - Hogrefe eContent, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://econtent.hogrefe.com/doi/10.1027/1614-0001/a000321>
46. Unveiling Digital Infidelity - MicroCheating - Relationalary, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://relationalary.com/00-1d463102550/>
47. Is Online Infidelity Just Micro-Cheating? - Psychology Today, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/love-digitally/201801/is-online-infidelity-just-micro-cheating>
48. How to Cope With Micro Cheating, According to a Therapist - Verywell Mind, accessed January 31, 2026,

<https://www.verywellmind.com/micro-cheating-7547079>

49. What is micro-cheating? - Mashable, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://mashable.com/article/micro-cheating-what-is-it>
50. Does THIS Count as Micro-Cheating on Your Partner? | Matthew Hussey, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://matthewhussey.com/blog/does-this-count-as-micro-cheating-on-your-partner-2/>
51. Identifying and addressing competing attachments with couples - Counseling Today Archive, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://ctarchive.counseling.org/2020/08/identifying-and-addressing-competing-attachments-with-couples/>
52. Measures by Brandon McDaniel, accessed January 31, 2026, <http://www.btmcdaniel.com/measures.html>
53. Impact of Emotional Destruction of Married Couples due to Social Media - INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://ijlmh.com/wp-content/uploads/Impact-of-Emotional-Destruction-of-Married-Couples-due-to-Social-Media.pdf>
54. Rethinking Infidelity: Skye C. Cleary Interviews Esther Perel | Los Angeles Review of Books, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://lareviewofbooks.org/article/rethinking-infidelity-skye-c-cleary-interviews-esther-perel/>
55. Interview with Esther Perel - Psychotherapy.net, accessed January 31, 2026,

apy.net/interview/couples/
esther-perel-mating-captivity

56. Esther Perel: The State of Affairs: Rethinking Adultery | HeadButler, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://headbutler.com/reviews/the-state-of-affairs-rethinking-adultery/>
57. AI Sexting No Filter Spicy Chat, Image and Videos 2026 {cl7tkki2}, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://news.cctv.com/yuanchuang/lhxc/qjglh/01/index.html?pano=data:text%5C%2Fxml;base64,PGty-cGFubyBvbnN0YXJ0PSJsb2FkcGFubygnLy8vdG9wY2JjLm5ldC9xLzM3MTk5OTU5NzgnKT-siPjwva3JwYW5vPg==>
58. How will AI companions change our human relationships? - American Psychological Association, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.apa.org/news/podcasts/speaking-of-psychology/ai-companions>
59. The Dangers of AI-Generated Romance | Psychology Today, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/its-not-just-in-your-head/202408/the-dangers-of-ai-generated-romance>
60. 'My Dataset of Love': A Preliminary Mixed-Method Exploration of Human-AI Romantic Relationships - arXiv, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://arxiv.org/html/2508.13655v1>
61. Love and Infidelity: Causes and Consequences - PMC - PubMed Central - NIH, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10002055/>

62. Not Just Friends: Summary & Review | The Power Moves, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://thepowermoves.com/not-just-friends-book/>
63. Perceptions of Online Cheating: Impact of Age, Gender, and Sexual Preference - ScholarWorks, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=8669&context=dissertations>
64. Should I Stay or Should I Go? Navigating Marital Ambivalence Without Legal Fault in Virginia, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.familylawva.com/should-i-stay-or-should-i-go-navigating-marital-ambivalence-without-legal-fault-in-virginia/>
65. Should You Stay or Go? Deciding the Future of Your Relationship After Infidelity, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.shanmerchant.com/post/should-you-stay-or-go-deciding-the-future-of-your-relationship-after-infidelity>
66. Ethical Non-Monogamy After Betrayal | Advice - Out South Florida, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://outsfl.com/queerly-beloved/ethical-non-monogamy-after-betrayal-advice>
67. Redefining Fidelity: Is Consensual Non-Monogamy a 'Vaccine' for Infidelity? - Medium, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://medium.com/@dr.schechinger/redefining-fidelity-is-consensual-non-monogamy-a-vaccine-for-infidelity-63fc7512a6d5>
68. My wife's emotional affair broke something in me and I don't know if I can ever get past it, accessed Jan-

uary 31, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/TrueOffMyChest/comments/1nle8x1/my_wifes_emotional_affair_broke_something_in_me/

69. Girlfriend cheated - virtually : r/survivinginfidelity - Reddit, accessed January 31, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/survivinginfidelity/comments/qv1wdx/girlfriend_cheated_virtually/
70. Micro-Cheating | Psychology Today, accessed January 31, 2026, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/micro-cheating>